



Robert W. Quinn, Jr.  
Federal Government Affairs  
Vice President

Suite 1000  
1120 20th Street NW  
Washington DC 20036  
202 457 3851  
FAX 202 457 2545

February 13, 2004

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Marlene Dortch  
Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room TWB-204  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Notice of Ex Parte Presentation  
In the Matter of AT&T's Petition for Declaratory Ruling That AT&T's Phone-to-Phone IP Telephony Services Are Exempt From Access Charges, WCB No. 02-361; Vonage Holding Company's Petition for Declaratory Ruling, WC No. 03-211; Level 3 Communications Petition for Forbearance, WC No. 03-266; In the Matter of Developing a Unified Intercarrier Compensation Regime, WCB No. 01-92

Dear Ms. Dortch,


Yesterday, I spoke with Scott Bergmann, Commissioner Jonathan Adelstein's Legal Adviser, to discuss issues related to the aforementioned proceedings. During the course of those discussions, we the Commission not to impose the existing PSTN access charge scheme on any VoIP applications individually or collectively as those regulations would disincen investment in this important new technology. In fact, application of access charges were applied to voice applications that terminate on the PSTN, it would disincen rural broadband deployment entirely as well. During that discussion, I also stated that issues related to universal service and access charge contribution (which are affected by the intersection of IP technology with the PSTN) were better addressed holistically in an intercarrier compensation reform proceeding that eliminates the access charge regime entirely rather than begin the process of importing the competition-distorting access charge regime into this new technology. I explained that the Commission's failure to act in a timely manner in that proceeding (which has been pending nearly three years) was placing undue pressure on the Commission to act in a very regulatory manner towards VoIP traffic. I also explained that the Commission must not provide disincentives to backbone providers that will deter them from the process of

upgrading and investing to expand their IP capabilities. That investment will be necessary for the industry to provide a seamless conversion to an IP-based infrastructure that is transparent to end-users.

I urged the Commission to continue the de-regulatory policies that were established in 1998 and reaffirmed in 2001 in the Commission's *Inter Carrier Compensation NPRM*. We reiterated the view that imposition of access charges on VoIP would disincent investment by backbone providers in IP architectures and thus slow investment in this key technology area (contrary to prior Commission policy). Finally, we explained that providers of IP based services were, in fact, compensating all LECs for terminating that traffic pursuant to the interconnection provisions of the Act. Consequently, all LECs were recovering their respective costs plus a reasonable profit for terminating that traffic and that any claim that a carrier was not recovering its costs was an outright fabrication.

The positions expressed in the meeting for each of these areas were consistent with those contained in the Comments, Reply Comments and ex parte filings previously made in the aforementioned dockets. One electronic copy of this Notice is being submitted for each of the referenced proceedings in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Robert W. Quinn". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized "Q" and "J" at the end.

cc: Scott Bergmann